## Antimycobacterial Activity of Phorbol Esters from the Fruits of *Sapium indicum*

Parinuch Chumkaew,<sup>†,‡</sup> Chatchanok Karalai,<sup>†</sup> Chanita Ponglimanont,<sup>†</sup> and Kan Chantrapromma\*,<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai, Songkhla 90112, Thailand, and Institute of Science, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80160, Thailand

Received September 20, 2002

Three new phorbol esters, 12-(2-*N*-methylaminobenzoyl)-4 $\beta$ ,5,20-trideoxyphorbol-13-acetate (1), 12-(2-*N*-methylaminobenzoyl)-4 $\alpha$ ,5,20-trideoxyphorbol-13-acetate (2), and 12-(2-*N*-methylaminobenzoyl)-4 $\alpha$ , 20-dideoxy-5-hydroxyphorbol-13-acetate (6), together with six known compounds (3–5 and 7–9), were isolated from the fruits of *Sapium indicum*. The chemical structures of 1, 2, and 6 were elucidated by analysis of their spectroscopic data. Compounds 1–3, 5, and 7–9 exhibited antimycobacterial activity with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) between 3.12 and 200  $\mu$ g/mL, but compounds 4 and 6 were inactive (MIC > 200  $\mu$ g/mL).

Sapium indicum L. is a mangrove plant belonging to the family Euphorbiaceae and is widely distributed in the coastal areas of countries of Southeast Asia bordering the Indian Ocean.<sup>1</sup> Previous phytochemical studies on S. indicum have resulted in the isolation of several phorbol esters.<sup>2–6</sup> Recently, several phorbol esters were found to inhibit HIV-1.7 As part of our continuing chemical studies on Thai medicinal plants,<sup>8-10</sup> we describe herein the chromatographic separation of a hexane extract of the fruits of *S. indicum*, which resulted in the isolation of three new phorbol esters, 12-(2-N-methylaminobenzoyl)-4 $\beta$ ,5,20trideoxyphorbol-13-acetate (1), 12-(2-N-methylaminobenzoyl)-4α,5,20-trideoxyphorbol-13-acetate (2), and 12-(2-Nmethylaminobenzoyl)-4a,20-dideoxy-5-hydroxyphorbol-13acetate (6), along with six known phorbol esters. Compounds **1–9** were evaluated for their antimycobacterial activity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra.11

Six compounds of previously known structure were isolated from the hexane extract of the fruits of *S. indicum*, as described in the Experimental Section, and were identified, in turn, as sapintoxin A (**3**),<sup>3</sup>  $\alpha$ -sapinine (**4**),<sup>3</sup> sapintoxin C (**5**),<sup>4</sup> sapintoxin B (**7**),<sup>4</sup> 12-(2'-*N*-methylaminobenzoyl)-4 $\alpha$ -deoxy-5,20-dihydroxyphorbol-13-acetate (**8**),<sup>2</sup> and 12-(2-methylaminobenzoyl)-4-deoxyphorbaldehyde-13-acetae (**9**),<sup>3</sup> by comparison of their physical and spectral data with reported values. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data of these known isolates are reported herein for the first time.

Compound **1** was obtained as a yellow oil, and its molecular formula was determined as  $C_{30}H_{37}NO_6$  by HRE-IMS (M<sup>+</sup> *m*/*z* 507.2628, calcd 507.2620). The IR spectrum showed the presence of carbonyls (1724, 1687 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and the UV spectrum ( $\lambda_{max}$  253, 360 nm) suggested the presence of a conjugated enone and benzoyl chromophores.<sup>12</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Tables 1–3), analyzed by the aid of <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY and HMQC experiments, showed patterns similar to those of known compounds (**3**, **5**, and **7**)<sup>3,4</sup>(Tables 1–3), with signals at  $\delta$  7.59 for H-1 (C-1 at  $\delta$  60.0), 5.25 for H-7 (C-7 at  $\delta$  125.7), and 1.05 for H-14 (C-14 at  $\delta$  35.8).

The multiplicity of signals in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **1**, as determined by DEPT experiments, indicated the presence of seven methyls, one methylene, 12 methines,

H₃CH GH₂R<sup>2</sup>  $R^{1} = H, R^{2} = H$ 1  $R^1 = H, R^2 = OH$ 3 5  $R^1 = \beta$ -OH,  $R^2 = H$  $R^1 = \beta - OH, R^2 = OH$ 7  $R^1 = H, R^2 = CHO$ H<sub>2</sub>CH OCOCH <sup>v</sup>OF 2  $R^1 = H, R^2 = H$  $R^1 = H, R^2 = OH$  $R^1 = \beta - OH, R^2 = H$ 6 8  $R^1 = \beta - OH, R^2 = OH$ 

and 10 quaternary carbons. The signals at  $\delta$  210.1, 173.7, and 168.1 in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum were attributed to carbonyl carbons. In addition, analysis of the HMBC spectrum showed that the proton H-12 ( $\delta$  5.65) correlated with the carbons C-11 ( $\delta$  42.5), C-13 ( $\delta$  65.6), C-15 ( $\delta$  25.4), C-18 ( $\delta$  15.1), and C-22 ( $\delta$  168.1), indicating an ester linkage at C-12. Other correlations are shown in Table 1 (Supporting Information). The proposed stereochemistry of this compound was supported from NOE correlations observed between H-8 ( $\delta$  2.40)/H-4 ( $\delta$  2.49)/H-11 ( $\delta$  1.71)

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +66-7567-3279. Fax: +66-7567-3809. E-mail: ckan@wu.ac.th.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Department of Chemistry, Prince of Songkla University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Present address: Institute of Science, Walailak University.

Table 1.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR	Spectral Data for	Compounds 1–5	(500 MHz in CDCl <sub>3</sub> )
----------	--------------------	-------------------	---------------	---------------------------------

position	1	2	3	4
1	7.59 br s	7.05 s	7.56 br s	7.08 s
4	2.49 dt (4.5, 10.5)	2.70 m	2.52 dt (5, 9.5)	2.80 m
$5\beta$	2.87 dd (9, 18.5)	3.41 br d (15)	2.86 dd (9.5, 18)	3.45 dd (3.5, 16
5α	2.04 dd (10.5, 18.5)	2.37 dd (4.5, 15)	2.17 dd (10, 18)	2.51 dd (5, 16)
7	5.25 m	4.83 br s	5.55 m	5.14 br s
8	2.40 br t (5)	1.95 br s	2.44 br t (5)	2.03 m
10	3.32 m	3.45 m	3.27 m	3.54 m
11	1.71 m	1.84 m	1.73 m	1.87 m
12	5.65 d (9.5)	5.71 d (10.5)	5.65 d (10)	5.72 d (10.5)
14	1.05 d (5.5)	0.83 d (5)	1.12 d (5)	0.84 d (5)
16	1.19 s	1.15 s	1.19 s	1.17 s
17	1.32 s	1.32 s	1.32 s	1.33 s
18	0.95 d (6.5)	1.09 d (6)	0.96 d (6.5)	1.12 d (6.5)
19	1.73 m	1.80 m	1.73 s	1.80 s
20	1.75 s	1.74 s	4.02 AB (13.5)	4.01 AB (12.5)
3′	6.70 br d (8)	6.70 dd (1.5, 8)	6.69 dd (1.5, 8.5)	6.70 d (8)
4'	7.42 dt (1.5, 8)	7.42 dt (1.5, 8)	7.40 dt (1.5, 8.5)	7.42 dt (2, 8)
5'	6.60 dt (1.5, 8)	6.61 dt (1.5, 8)	6.59 dt (1.5, 8.5)	6.62 t (8)
6'	7.83 dd (1.5, 8)	7.90 dd (1.5, 8)	7.81 dd (1.5, 8.5)	7.88 dd (1.5, 8)
NCH <sub>3</sub>	2.94 d (4.5)	2.93 d (4.5)	2.93 s	2.93 br s
$COCH_3$	2.13 s	2.07 s	2.12 s	2.08 s

Table 2.	$^{13}\text{C}$ NMR Spectral Data for Compounds $19$ (125 MHz in CDCl_3)

carbon	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	160.0	156.1	159.5	156.5	162.6	154.6	162.7	154.9	158.4
2	136.4	143.6	136.4	137.5	138.3	144.0	138.5	144.2	136.9
3	210.1	212.6	209.5	213.8	208.5	207.5	208.4	207.9	208.4
4	44.6	49.0	44.2	49.7	51.4	56.1	51.4	55.9	43.8
5	34.0	29.7	29.6	24.9	71.1	70.9	71.1	67.3	25.8
6	139.0	135.2	142.1	143.7	140.6	137.7	143.1	139.9	144.7
7	125.7	124.5	126.5	126.7	127.3	125.4	130.3	126.8	154.1
8	42.2	40.6	42.1	40.5	42.4	40.1	42.5	40.1	42.8
9	77.9	78.1	77.8	78.1	78.3	78.5	78.6	78.5	78.4
10	54.3	46.9	54.1	47.3	51.5	47.8	52.1	47.9	53.9
11	42.5	43.2	42.6	43.3	43.0	43.4	43.2	43.4	43.2
12	76.3	75.1	76.2	74.9	76.3	74.0	76.3	73.9	75.6
13	65.6	65.4	65.5	65.3	65.6	65.3	65.7	65.3	65.1
14	35.8	37.3	35.7	36.9	36.5	38.1	36.3	37.7	34.9
15	25.4	24.8	25.7	24.9	25.8	25.3	26.0	25.5	24.9
16	23.7	23.8	23.7	23.8	23.7	24.1	23.9	24.0	23.6
17	16.9	16.2	16.8	16.2	16.9	16.5	17.1	16.6	16.7
18	15.1	11.4	15.0	11.5	15.3	11.7	15.5	11.8	15.1
19	10.2	10.0	10.1	10.0	10.1	10.4	10.3	10.5	10.2
20	25.7	28.5	67.4	69.2	21.7	27.1	67.1	68.2	193.0
21	173.7	174.1	173.7	174.1	173.6	173.9	174.0	174.1	173.9
22	168.1	168.7	168.1	168.6	168.1	168.0	168.2	168.0	167.9
1′	152.3	152.8	152.3	152.8	152.3	152.4	152.6	152.4	152.3
2'	109.4	109.8	109.4	109.7	109.4	109.3	109.5	109.2	109.1
3′	110.8	111.0	110.8	111.0	110.8	110.9	111.1	110.9	110.9
4'	134.9	135.2	134.9	135.2	134.9	135.0	135.2	135.1	135.1
5'	114.4	114.5	114.4	114.5	114.4	114.3	114.7	114.4	114.5
6′	131.3	131.6	131.3	131.6	131.3	131.3	131.5	131.3	131.2
$NCH_3$	29.6	29.2	29.7	29.2	29.5	29.6	29.8	29.6	29.6
COCH <sub>3</sub>	21.2	20.8	21.1	20.7	21.1	21.1	21.4	21.1	21.1

position	5	6	7	8	9
1	7.70 br s	7.07 br s	7.71 br s	7.11 br s	7.51 br s
4	2.63 t (4.5)	3.12 dd (4.5, 6.5)	2.64 t (4.5)	3.21 m	2.53 m
5	4.87 br d (3.5)	4.45 br s	5.20 d (4.5)	4.57 br s	2.76 d (11)
7	5.33 m	4.88 br s	5.62 d (5)	5.21 d (1.5)	6.57 br s
8	2.34 br s	2.05 m	2.35 br t (5)	2.11 m	2.70 br t (6.5
10	3.54 m	3.64 m	3.59 m	3.71 m	3.10 m
11	1.66 m	1.85 m	1.68 m	1.87 m	1.80 m
12	5.65 d (9.5)	5.70 d (10)	5.65 d (10)	5.72 d (10.5)	5.69 d (10)
14	1.09 d (5.5)	0.86 d (4.5)	1.13 d (5)	0.92 d (4.5)	1.25 d (4.5)
16	1.20 s	1.18 s	1.20 s	1.19 s	1.24 s
17	1.29 s	1.31 s	1.29 s	1.34 s	1.33 s
18	0.97 d (6.5)	1.12 d (6)	0.97 d (6)	1.16 d (6.5)	0.98 d (6.5)
19	1.75 m	1.81 br t (1.5)	1.75 m	1.82 m	1.73 m
20	1.88 s	1.88 br s	4.25 AB (13)	4.15 br s	9.45 s
3′	6.72 d (8)	6.72 d (8)	6.71 d (8)	6.74 d (8)	6.71 d (8)
4'	7.43 dt (1.5, 8)	7.43 dt (1.5, 8)	7.42 dt (1.5, 8)	7.45 dt (1.5, 8)	7.42 dt (2, 8)
5'	6.61 dt (1.5, 8)	6.61 dt (1.5, 8)	6.60 dt (1.5, 8)	6.63 dt (1.5, 8)	6.60 dt (2, 8)
6′	7.88 dd (1.5, 8)	7.88 dd (1.5, 8)	7.82 dd (1.5, 8)	7.89 dd (1.5, 8)	7.82 dd (2, 8)
NCH <sub>3</sub>	2.93 s	2.94 d (4.5)	2.93 s	2.95 s	2.94 s
$COCH_3$	2.12 s	2.11s	2.13 s	2.11 s	2.16 s

and H-17 ( $\delta$  1.32) on the  $\beta$ -face and H-14 ( $\delta$  1.05)/H-16 ( $\delta$  1.19) and H-7 ( $\delta$  5.25) on the other side of the molecule (Figure 1, Supporting Information).

Compound 2, isolated as a yellow oil, showed carbonyl bands at 1721 and 1687 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the IR spectrum, and the UV spectrum indicated the presence of a conjugated enone

and benzoyl chromophores ( $\lambda_{max}$  247, 357 nm). The molecular formula of 2 was determined as C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> from its HREIMS (M<sup>+</sup> m/z 507.2617, calcd 507.2620). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **2** were almost the same as those of **1**, except for signals at  $\delta$  7.05 for H-1 (C-1 at  $\delta$  156.1), 4.83 for H-7 (C-7 at  $\delta$  124.5), and 0.83 for H-14 (C-14 at  $\delta$  37.3) appeared at higher field, which can be explained in terms of shielding effects of the 1, 2- and 6, 7-double bonds. The signals of 1 at  $\delta$  2.04 for  $H_a\text{-}5$  and  $\delta$  2.87 for  $H_b\text{-}5$  (C-5 at  $\delta$ 34.0) appeared at lower field because of the anisotropic effect of the carbonyl group, caused by the change of stereochemistry of the parent diterpene upon epimerization at C-4. The NOE interactions between H-4 and H-10 in 2 (Figure 2, Supporting Information) indicated that the protons positioned at C-4 and C-10 should be syn and were assigned arbitrarily as  $\beta$ -oriented. The HMBC correlations of 2 (Table 1, Supporting Information) were similar to those of compound 1.

Compound **6** appeared as a yellow oil, whose molecular formula,  $C_{30}H_{37}NO_7$ , was inferred by HRFABMS [(M + H)+ m/z 524.2652, calcd 524.2648]. The IR absorption bands at 1721 and 1687 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicated the presence of carbonyls, and the UV spectrum showed absorption bands at 247 and 359 nm indicative of conjugated enone and benzoyl chromophores. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **6** was similar to those of 12-(2-*N*-methylaminobenzoyl)-4 $\alpha$ ,20-dideoxy-5-hydroxy-phorbol<sup>4</sup> (Table 3) except for the appearance of an acetyl signal. In the HMBC spectrum an acetyl signal at  $\delta$  2.10 showed correlations to C-21 ( $\delta$  173.9) and C-13 ( $\delta$  65.3).

Compounds **1–3**, **5**, and **7–9** exhibited antimycobacterial activity with MIC values between 12.5 and 200  $\mu$ g/mL (Table 4). It is interesting to note that the 4 $\beta$ -isomers showed higher activity than the 4 $\alpha$ -isomers. Our result is in agreement with a previous literature report.<sup>13</sup>

## **Experimental Section**

**General Experimental Procedures.** Specific rotations were determined with an Autopol II automatic polarimeter. UV spectra were measured with a UV-160A spectrophotometer (Shimadzu), and IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1750 FTIR spectrophotometer. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> using a 500 MHz Varian Unity INOVA spectrometer. Chemical shifts are recorded in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Mass spectra (EI or FAB) were recorded on a Finnigan-MAT 95 XL spectrometer. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 GF<sub>254</sub> (Merck).

**Plant Material.** The fruits of *Sapium indicum* were collected in Sathing Phra, Songkhla, Thailand, in May 2000 and identified by Prof. Puangpen Sirirugsa. A voucher specimen (number 0012196) was deposited in the herbarium of the Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand.

**Extraction and Isolation.** Air-dried and powdered fruits (3.8 kg) were extracted with hexane at room temperature. The hexane extract was taken to dryness under reduced pressure to yield a yellow solid (2 g) and a yellow gum (17 g). The yellow solid (2 g) was partially separated by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) and elution with 0-100% hexane-EtOAc to afford eight fractions. Fractions 3, 4, and 6 were further purified by preparative TLC with 30% hexane-EtOAc to give **1** (4.1 mg,  $R_f 0.53$ , 40% hexane-ether), **9** (4 mg,  $R_f 0.30$ , 20% hexane-ether), and 5 (6.6 mg,  $R_f$  0.25, 40% hexane-ether), sequentially. Purification of fraction 7 (600 mg) was also performed by preparative TLC using the same conditions as described above to provide 3 (140 mg,  $R_f 0.16$ , 20% hexaneether) and 4 (23.2 mg,  $R_f 0.21$ , 20% hexane-ether). The yellow gum (17 g) was partially separated by rapid column chromatography on silica gel (100 g), eluted with 0–100% EtOAchexane mixtures, to give 15 fractions. Fraction 6 (325 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC on silica gel plates, using

Table 4. Antimycobacterial Activities of Compounds 1-9

compound	MIC (µg/mL)	
1	50	
2	200	
3	3.12	
4	>200	
5	25	
6	>200	
7	12.5	
8	25	
9	25	
isoniazide <sup>a</sup>	0.040 - 0.090	
kanamycin sulfate <sup>a</sup>	2.0 - 5.0	

<sup>a</sup> Positive control substance.

30% ether-hexane to afford **2** (9.3 mg,  $R_f$  0.56, 40% hexaneether). Fractions 10, 14, and 15 were also purified by preparative TLC using 50% ether-hexane to give **6** (10.4 mg,  $R_f$  0.33, 40% hexane-ether), **7** (9.2 mg,  $R_f$  0.06, 40% hexane-ether), and **8** (9.8 mg,  $R_f$  0.13, 40% hexane-ether), respectively.

**Compound 1:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28} + 45.5^{\circ}$  (*c* 0.0044, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 253 (5.32), 360 (5.01) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3388 (OH), 1724, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2; EIMS *m*/*z* 507 (3) [M]<sup>+</sup>, 414 (3), 357 (7), 297 (14), 255 (4), 161 (8), 151 (100); HREIMS *m*/*z* 507.2628 [M]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>, 507.2620).

**Compound 2:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28}$  –57.9° (*c* 0.0069, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 247 (5.03), 357 (4.57) nm; IR(CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3388 (OH), 1721, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2; EIMS *m*/*z* 507 (5) [M]<sup>+</sup>, 414 (5), 357 (9), 297 (12), 255 (5), 161 (6), 151 (100); HREIMS *m*/*z* 507.2617 [M]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>, 507.2620).

**Compound 3:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28}$  +18.5° (*c* 0.0054, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 252 (5.25), 360 (4.94) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3389 (OH), 1720, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2.

**Compound 4:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28}$  -52.6° (*c* 0.0057, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 252 (5.23), 360 (4.91) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3388 (OH), 1721, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2.

**Compound 5:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28}$  +36.4° (*c* 0.0055, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 253 (5.06), 360 (4.74) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3388 (OH), 1721, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 3; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2.

**Compound 6:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28} - 33.3^\circ$  (*c* 0.0030, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 247 (5.39), 359 (5.12) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3388 (OH), 1721, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 3; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2; FABMS *m*/*z* 524 (4) [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 453 (6), 373 (7), 355 (11), 313 (13), 261 (15), 201 (85), 185 (33), 152 (47), 134 (100); HRFABMS *m*/*z* 524.2652 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>37</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>, 524.2648).

**Compound 7:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28}$  +13.5° (*c* 0.0074, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 252 (5.11), 360 (4.79) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3388 (OH), 1724, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 3; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2.

**Compound 8:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28} - 31.9^{\circ}$  (*c* 0.0094, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 252 (5.00), 361 (4.69) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3387 (OH), 1721, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 3; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2.

**Compound 9:** yellow oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{28}$  +55.6° (*c* 0.0018, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 252 (5.73), 360 (5.41) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{max}$  3387 (OH), 1724, 1687 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 3; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table 2.

**Bioassay.** The antimycobacterial activity was assessed against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Ra using the Microplate Alamar Blue Assay.<sup>11</sup> In this system, the standard drugs isoniazid and kanamycin sulfate were used as reference compounds (Table 4).

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the Higher Education Development Project, Postgraduate Education and Research Program in Chemistry, funded by the Royal Thai Government and the Taiyo Group of Japan. We are grateful to the Biodiversity Research and Training Program (BRT) for finan-

cial support. The Bioassay Research Facility of BIOTEC is also grateful acknowledged for bioactivity tests.

Supporting Information Available: Table of HMBC NMR data for compounds 1, 2, and 6 and figures of NOE NMR interactions for compounds 1 and 2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## **References and Notes**

- Hooker, L. D. The Flora of British India (Chenopodiaceae to Or-chideae); L. Reeve & Co Ltd.: Ashford, Kent, UK, 1875; Vol. 5, p 812.
   Edwards, M. C.; Taylor, S. E.; Williamson, E. M.; Evans, F. J. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1983, 53, 177–187.
- (3) Taylor, S. E.; Gafur, M. A.; Choudhury, A. K.; Evans, F. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 3321–3324.
- (4) Taylor, S. E.; Gafur, M. A.; Choudhury, A. K.; Evans, F. J. *Phytochemistry* **1981**, *20*, 2749–2751.
  (5) Taylor, S. E.; Gafur, M. A.; Choudhury, A. K.; Evans, F. J. *Phytochemistry* **1982**, *21*, 405–407.

- (6) Taylor, S. E.; Evans, F. J.; Gafur, M. A.; Choudhury, A. K. J. Nat. Prod. 1981, 44, 729-731.
- (7) El-Mekkawy, S.; Meselhy, M. R.; Nakamura, N.; Hattori, M.; Kawahata, T.; Otake, T. Phytochemistry 2000, 53, 457-464.
- (8) Chantrapromma, K.; Seechamnanturakit, V.; Ponglimanont, C.; Pakawatchai, C.; Fun, H. K.; Sivakumar, K. Acta Crystallogr. C1997, 53, 734-736.
- (9) Chantrapromma, K.; Seechamnanturakit, V.; Lojanapiwat, V.; Karalai,
- C. Phytochemistry 1999, 53, 511–513.
   Laphookhieo, S.; Karalai, C.; Chantrapromma, S.; Fun, H. K.; Usman, A.; Rat-a-pa, Y.; Chantrapromma, K. Acta Crystallogr. C 2001, 57, 1352-1353.
- (11) Collins, L.; Franzblau, S. G. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1997, 4, 1004-1009.
- (12) Hecker, E.; Schmidt, R. Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 1979, 31, 377-467
- (13) Taylor, S. E.; Edwards, M. C.; Williamson, E. M.; Evans, F. J. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 1981, 33 (Suppl.), 54P.

NP0204489